

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
Facsimile (702) 949-8321
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

Chapter 11

**THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF
USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF
CLAIM BASED IN WHOLE OR IN
PART UPON INVESTMENT IN THE
HARBOR GEORGETOWN LOAN**

Date of Hearing: July 26, 2011

Time of Hearing: 1:30 p.m.

Estimated Time for Hearing: 10 minutes

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an order disallowing in whole or in part the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based upon an investment in a loan to Harbor Georgetown, LLC. (“Borrower”). This loan was often referred to as the “Harbor Georgetown Loan” and will be referred to as such herein. This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Harbor Georgetown Loan. (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE
DIRECT LENDERS' RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE HARBOR GEORGETOWN
LOAN OR TO SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF
THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE HARBOR GEORGETOWN LOAN.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. BACKGROUND FACTS

a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor's post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC ("Compass"), including the Harbor Georgetown Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

1 The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,
2 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the
3 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of
4 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

5 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District
6 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*
7 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that Silar Advisors, LP
8 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan
9 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those
10 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase
11 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of
12 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

13 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of
14 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset
15 Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset
16 Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase
17 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of
18 Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is
19 now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-
20 RCJ, along with certain affiliates.¹ William A. Leonard, Jr. was appointed trustee in the
21 Asset Resolution case.

22 The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district
23 court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information
24

25 ¹ 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP
26 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,
Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP
HARBOR GEORGETOWN SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. By Order entered on June 24, 2010 by the Hon. Robert C. Jones in United States Bankruptcy Court (Case No. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ), the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to Cross, FLS. The Harbor Georgetown Loan servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS by that Order. The Trust has been in contact with Cross, FLS with regard to the status of the Harbor Georgetown Loan.

The following is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the Harbor Georgetown Loan.

b. The Harbor Georgetown Loan

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to a borrower identified as "Harbor Georgetown, LLC." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan amount proposed was \$8,800,000. The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on approximately 6.51 acres of land with 83,000 square feet of retail and office space located at 2502-2568 Packard Road in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The loan was intended to refinance existing debt and provide Borrower with some entitlement costs, and allow Borrower to carry the property until the redevelopment plans were approved. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The Offer Sheet indicated that the combined loan to value ratio was approximately 75% based on an analysis of build out profits from the planned redevelopment.²

On August 16, 2004, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust"

² The Circular only states that an appraiser has been engaged. There does not appear to be a contemporaneous appraisal that actually supports the loan.

(the “Note”) and a Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a loan of up to \$8,800,000, with an initial disbursement of \$7,400,000. The Note was secured by a Mortgage that was recorded in the official records of Washtenaw County, Michigan on August 30, 2004, along with subsequent amendments thereto securing additional principal advances up to the \$8.8 million cap. The Note was also supported by an Unconditional Guaranty signed by Craig Schubiner. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.)

The USACM “Loan Summary” dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows that Borrower was “Non-performing” on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.) During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court’s orders.

Counsel for the Trustee spoke with Cross FLS regarding the current status of the Harbor Georgetown Loan. Upon information and belief, nothing has transpired with respect to the Harbor Georgetown Loan or the collateral securing the loan. There is no foreclosure in process, no pending or potential sale of the collateral, and no pending or potential sale of the Note.

c. The Harbor Georgetown Claims

Exhibit A, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be based in whole or in part upon an investment in the Harbor Georgetown Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant’s address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in the Harbor Georgetown Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the “Harbor Georgetown Claims.” As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

IV. THE OBJECTION

The Harbor Georgetown Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length transaction. In addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a lien on real property.

USACM is not liable for the Borrower’s default or any decrease in the value of the collateral.

The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Harbor

1 Georgetown Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the
2 Harbor Georgetown Loan or take any other action.

3 This objection will not affect the Direct Lenders' right to be repaid on the Harbor
4 Georgetown Loan by the Borrower, to recover from the sale of any collateral that secured
5 the Harbor Georgetown Loan or collect on the guaranty that supports the loan.

6 **V. CONCLUSION**

7 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against
8 USACM listed in Exhibit A to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the
9 Harbor Georgetown Loan. This objection concerns only the Harbor Georgetown Loan and
10 not any other claims of any of the Direct Lenders. The USACM Trust also requests such
11 other and further relief as is just and proper.

12 Dated: June 17, 2011.

13 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

14
15 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)

16 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593

17 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

18 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

19 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

20 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

21 E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com

22 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

23 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent
24 portion of Exhibits mailed by first
25 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on
26 June 17, 2011 to all parties listed on
Exhibit A attached.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

s/ Matt Burns

Matt Burns